



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,579		10/17/2000	Michiaki Yoneda	202704US6	3450
22850	50 7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SF 1940 DUKE		MCCLELLAND	BATES, KEVIN T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2155	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE CUP

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/690,579	YONEDA, MICHIAKI			
navious notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Bates	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) \( \square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-39.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10.☐ Other: SEST AVAILABL	E COPY	Latrice Winder PATRICE WINDER			
	P	RIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to claim 1, the reference Keller, teaches an information processing device that stores the information about the capacity of an item in the database along with that item, for the purpose of being able to display it later and in regards to claim 31, the reference Idia, discloses by allowing the user to control the printing conditions such as jacket design it controls whether certain information is going to be printed on that jacket, thus giving the user control over printing those certain details.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY